

**MEETING MINUTES OF THE
LONG GROVE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING
September 15, 2014
7:00 P.M.**

Call to Order: Chairman Lynn Michaelson-Cohn, called the regular meeting of the Long Grove Architectural Commission (AC) to order at 7:03 p.m. with the following members present;

Members Present: Chairman Lynn Michaelson-Cohn, George Tapas, Eric Styer, Eric Closson and Mark Howard

Also Present: Village Planner James Hogue and members of the public.

Members Absent: None

1) Approval of the August 18, 2014 Draft Regular Meeting Minutes.

Typographical errors were noted in the draft minutes. A motion was made by Commissioner Styer, seconded by Commissioner Closson to accept the draft minutes as corrected. On a voice vote; all aye.

2) Consideration of a request for signage for 4194 Route 83; Building “C” (Sherwin Williams) Unit A, within the Sunset Grove PUD, submitted by VanBruggen Signs.

Planner Hogue reported that at the August 18th regular AC meeting it was noted the proposed signage on the east elevation is within the allowable square footage for such signage as allowed by the PUD approval ordinance, however the square footage of the signage on the north elevation appears to exceed the maximum allowable square footage by 4.2 square feet.

Staff has since received a revised “proof” for the north elevation. The square footage of the signage on the north elevation is revised as follows;

| | <u>Proposed Sq. Footage</u> | <u>Sq. Footage Allowed per PUD Approval</u> |
|------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| North Elevation: | 10.7’ x 3.1’ (33.1 sq. ft.) | 33.6 Sq. ft. |
| East Elevation: | 10.75’ x 3.6’ (37.8 sq. ft.) | 114.8 Sq. ft. |

The proposed signage as revised is within the allowable square footage for such signage as allowed by the PUD approval ordinance.

The AC questioned the petitioner about the number and location of placards proposed. The petitioner responded that only one (1) placard sign was requested to be placed on “Pylon #2” at the northern

most entrance on Rt. 83. The placard would be consistent with the building signage in terms of color and copy. The AC noted the proposed signage was of a higher quality than the standard “box” sign which is often used.

The AC had a concern with the sign placement in relation to the gable roof and indicated the sign should not be part of the roof gable and be equidistant from the edges of the gable.

A motion was made by Commissioner Styer, seconded by Commissioner Tapas to recommend approval of the sign as submitted subject to the sign being mounted within the designated zone for placement of signage and graphics but equidistant from the edges of the roof gable. On a voice vote; all aye.

3) Consideration of a request for façade improvements to “The Arlington” 1666 Checker Road with the LaSavanne PUD, submitted by FitzGerald Associates Architects.

Planner Hogue explained the request by noting that previously the Architectural Commission has reviewed and approved façade treatments on proposed additions to “The Arlington”. While there is no mandate for such review staff has noted that historically façade improvements to the structure have been reviewed by the AC. As precedent has been set with regard to exterior modification of the structure the proposal is being brought to the AC for consideration. The request is strictly for “cosmetic” changes to the building façade. No additions or major structural alterations (which would require modification to the PUD) are being considered. Improvements to the building façade were last considered by the AC in 2005/2006.

As proposed the petitioner is seeking to modify the elevation on the south, east and west sides of the structure. Replacement shingles, canopy & column cladding, vestibule and new (cosmetic) gable structures are proposed. EFIS siding and fiberglass column enclosures are proposed. Shingles will be asphalt. A cedar trellis is proposed on portions of the south and west elevations of the building.

Mr. Istvan Walker, project architect, noted interior modifications to the structure would follow. The request before the AC was strictly for exterior modifications. The goal of the project is to unify the various architectural elements of the structure and eliminate the monotony of the façade.

After limited discussion the AC noted the proposed improvements would indeed help in unifying the façade of the structure. A motion was made by Commissioner Howard, seconded by Commissioner Styer to recommend approval of the modifications was submitted. On a voice vote, all aye.

OTHER BUSINESS: NONE

Adjournment: Commissioner Closson made a motion to adjourn, seconded by Commissioner Styer. On a voice vote; all aye. Meeting adjourned at 7:44 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

James M. Hogue

Village Planner