

**MEETING MINUTES OF THE  
LONG GROVE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION  
REGULAR MEETING  
March 17, 2014  
7:00 P.M.**

**Call to Order:** Chairman Michaelson-Cohn, called the regular meeting of the Long Grove Architectural Commission (AC) to order at 7:01 p.m. with the following members present;

Members Present: Lynn Michaelson-Cohn, Chairman, George Tapas, Helen Makaritis, Eric Styer and Eric Closson.

Also Present: Village Planner James Hogue and members of the public.

Absent: Commissioner's Howard & Calas.

**1. Approval of the February 10, 2014 Draft Meeting Minutes.**

Typographical errors were noted in the draft minutes. A motion was made by Commissioner Styer, seconded by Commissioner Makaritis to accept the draft minutes as corrected. On a voice vote; all aye.

**2. Consideration of a request for landscape lighting at 6217 Pine Cone Court and within the R-2 PUD submitted by Apple Landscaping on behalf of Charlie & Angela Tobler.**

Planner Hogue explained the request noting thirty one (31) low voltage lights are proposed to be placed in the landscaping and around the proposed pool on the side of the residence. As proposed this is a LED illumination system. Thirteen (13) path lights will be mounted around the pool deck, patio and walkways to provide safer access around the pool area. These will contain a 3 watt LED light source on a 12" light stem with a Model AL 100 Area light hat. Eight (8) step lights are also proposed to be installed in stoops leading into the residence and steps to the patio and pool deck area. Ten 4 or 5 watt spot lights (Model BL 100) and approximately 9" tall will be utilized to uplight trees and landscaping around the pool and patio. These lights will be disbursed within the landscaping. A site plan was presented for the review of the AC.

Mr. Keith Appelhans, owner of Apple Landscaping answered questions from the AC noting the light source would be "warm" soft white light in temperature not "blue" in color.

After discussion the AC determined that the lighting as proposed would illuminate the property and landscaping thereon and should not impact the neighborhood.

A motion was made by Commissioner Styer, seconded by Commissioner Closson, to recommend approval of the of the request as submitted including the use of an LED illumination source (LED light to be 2700 K). On a voice vote; all aye

**3. Consideration of elevations for a residence at 1147 Steepleview Drive (Lot 6; Steepleview Estates PUD) submitted by KCD Construction.**

Planner Hogue indicated that per the final approval ordinance for the Steeple View Estates PUD granted in 2008, all elevations of any residence to be constructed in the development are to be reviewed by the AC. This is the first residence to be built within the development.

As proposed the structure will be two stories with a brick & stone façade with asphalt shingles. Elevations for the structure, including material samples were available for review by the AC.

Mr. Greg Miller on behalf of KCD Construction and representing the property owner further reviewed the request by presenting brick & stone samples and noting grey mortar would also be used. Anti-monotony should not be an issue in the development as he is the exclusive builder for the subdivision and specializes in custom homes. Variation will occur in the construction of all houses with the development with house sizes being between 3,500 to 5,500 square feet.

The AC questioned why the stone wainscoting was discontinued on one the elevations of the proposed structure. Mr. Miller responded that carrying the stone around to that elevation was a waste of stone as that elevation was hidden from view by landscaping. The AC suggested that the landscaping be coordinated with the building design to allow the wainscoting to be continued completely around the structure. A blend of materials around all four sides of the structure was desirable from the architectural, anti-monotony and aesthetic perspectives of the structure and carrying this “theme” or “language” around the entire structure should be considered.

Mr. Miller indicated the elevations presented were likely not the final elevations as there were some engineering issues to be considered on the site.

A motion was made by Commissioner Tapas, seconded by Commissioner Closson to have the final elevations brought back to the AC at the April 21 regular meeting with the following considerations;

- Complete final elevations be presented to the AC;
- Blending of “themes” into all elevations of the structure should be done to the greatest extent possible;
- Architectural details such as railings (among others) should be presented to the AC.

On a voice vote; all aye.

**OTHER BUSINESS: NONE**

**Adjournment:** Commissioner Makaritis made a motion to adjourn, seconded by Commissioner Styer. On a voice vote; all aye. Meeting adjourned at 7:33 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,  
*James M. Hogue*  
James M. Hogue, Village Planner