



**MINUTES OF THE VILLAGE BOARD OF LONG GROVE
Tuesday, April 22, 2014 at 7:00 P.M.
3110 OLD MCHENRY ROAD, LONG GROVE, ILLINOIS 60047-9613**

CALL TO ORDER:

At 7:00 P.M., Village President Underwood called the April 22, 2014 Village Board Meeting to Order.

OFFICIALS IN ATTENDANCE:

Village President:	Underwood
Trustees Present:	Barry, Borys, Lyman, Marshall, Wachs, Yaeger
Trustees Absent:	none
Village Manager:	Lothspeich
Village Clerk:	Locker-Scheer
Village Planner:	not present
Also Present:	Village Counsel Filippini

Call To Order: Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance

VISITOR'S BUSINESS

Visitors' Business: Homeowner Associations:

The Beaver Creek and Glenstone HOAs were invited to the Board meeting but did not have a representative present.

Visitors' Business: Special Event & Temporary Signage Application: Beans & Leaves

Discussion: A Special Event Application was received on Wednesday, April 16th for "Live Music" programs and temporary signage for events occurring May through October, 2014. Since the start date for the event is "May", staff has included the application on the agenda for approval rather than unnecessarily postpone the event. If the regular process is followed the LGBCP Board would consider the application during their May 20, 2014 meeting for consideration by the Village Board during the May 27, 2014 Meeting. Staff forwarded the application to LGBCP Director Sharon Fine inquiring as to whether the LGBCP would have any concerns with the Village Board approving the event during the upcoming meeting and will let the Board know if they have any concerns. Trustee Wachs is thrilled that she is going forward with this program. Per LGBCP representative, owner Karen's lease ends in June. Consensus that Karen has started a great music tradition for the Village. This application would apply to the business and would carry on with the business if management changes.

Trustee Wachs moved to approve the Special Event Applications and Temporary Signage

for Beans & Leaves Outdoor Live Music from May 1, 2014 through October 31, 2014; seconded by Trustee Marshall.

VOICE VOTE:

All ayes, no nays (Motion carried 6 – 0)

Lake County Sheriff's Report

Detective Kaiser: Provided insight into Video Gaming that is currently in place in Lake County: Any incidents have been with people not obtaining the licenses through Lake County appropriately for unincorporated areas and only at the state level. As known, establishments are allowed up to 5 machines. If not pulling in enough money, the state has the right to pull machine(s). Activities are running smoothly. Lake County can charge a \$25 licensing fee which only applies to unincorporated areas. Sheriff: No report.

Item #1: Report Of The April 15, 2014 Architectural Commission Meeting.

Discussion: Village Manager Lothspeich provided a verbal report on the Architectural Commission (AC). The following home designs presented at the April 21, 2014 meeting were approved, some with provisions.

- A. Consideration Of Elevations (Continued) For A Residence At 1147 Steepleview Drive (Lot 6; Steepleview Estates PUD) Submitted By KCD Construction.
- B. Consideration Of Elevations For A Residence At 5181 Eastgate Lane (Lot 1; Eastgate Estates PUD) Submitted By Alba Construction.
- C. Consideration Of Elevations For A Residence At 5184 Eastgate Lane (Lot 3; Eastgate Estates PUD) Submitted By Fidelity Wes Builders.
- D. Consideration Of Elevations For A Residence At 5182 Eastgate Lane (Lot 9; Eastgate Estates PUD) Submitted By Fidelity Wes Builders.

Item #2: Report On Infrastructure Funding.

Discussion: Outside peer reviews of the various suggestions from the public are on-going. Village Manager Lothspeich provided the Trustees with a packet of the different review areas. He asked various third-party advisors, e.g., Gewalt-Hamilton Associates, for proposals. Included in this is a Facilitator who would oversee the projects.

- A. Proposal From Gewalt-Hamilton Associates To Review Village Road Paving Costs.
Michael Shrake of Gewalt-Hamilton & Associates provided a proposal for the review of the following: pavement condition evaluation; review recent and projected paving costs; provide recommendations on ways to improve efficiency and reduce overall costs. Trustee Borys asked about the bidding process and prior relationships. Gewalt-Hamilton has not done business with Long Grove in the past. Mr. Shrake responded to questions and provided examples of communities (currently 9) that his firm has/is working with. Provided an overview of their Access-based planning tool that would be a deliverable out of their study. Their firm would also provide observation during the actual paving. Attorney Filippini advised that this review will have to go out to bid, unless there is an immediate requirement or preexisting relationship. Cost is based on condition of roads and methodology used. Trustee Marshall asked about observation effort comparisons to

projects without observers and assessment of the end results, but there are not any known studies regarding this. Village President Underwood thanked Mr. Shrake for his attendance and insight. Consensus that we want the staff to review bid options.

- B. Proposal from Business Districts Inc. (BDI) To Review Village-wide Economic Development Opportunities.** The Village's rough schedule of potential development scenarios and their projected sales taxes was reviewed with Bridget Lane of BDI. BDI provided a proposal to review the appropriateness of the current zoning and making recommendations on how to increase commercial development and revenues. All of these tasks could be completed for a not to exceed budget of \$11,000. That assumes a 4-hour presentation meeting and some difficulty reaching property owners. It is hoped that the actual fee would stay under \$10,000. Bridget Lane would be working with co-worker Diane Williams elements where she has special expertise. Recommendation from attendee to review all of the plans with Bridget Lane to ensure appropriateness of selections before made a requirement.

Village Trustee Marshall moved to approve the proposal from BDI to review the Village-wide Economic Development Opportunities at a cost not to exceed \$11,000; seconded by Trustee Wachs.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

**Trustee Yaeger – aye; Trustee Marshall – aye; Trustee Barry – aye; Trustee Wachs – aye; Trustee Lyman - aye; Trustee Borys- aye.
(Motion carried 6 – 0).**

- C. Bonds/Revenues.** The Village's current bonds for possible refinance and other means to restructure debt to increase general fund revenues were reviewed with Kevin McKenna of Speer Financial. In response to comments made at the task force meeting suggesting that the Village should refinance the existing TIF Bonds and obtain a bond rating to save the Village \$1.0 to \$1.5M in interest, Mr. McKenna provided a April 9, 2014 report stating that there are no benefits at this time to refinance and clarifying the communities do not get bond ratings, it is the debt that has ratings. Speer Financial was asked to provide a proposal to assist the Village by attending meetings with the Village Board and the community. Village Auditor John Rader (Baker Tilly) has been consulted as well and will remain involved in the review process. Staff will develop revenue projections for other forms of revenues (user fees/taxes) for the Village Board to consider. BDI can offer her insight on both the positive income generated and possible unintended effects (future development, redevelopment, annexation, etc.) to be aware of.
- D. Resident Engagement.** Staff has been contacted by various members of the community interested in participating in the Village's outreach efforts. They are informing residents that Staff is reviewing the technical aspects of the numerous suggestions from the community to report back to the Village Board with the goal to identify and focus on those options that are real. Staff plans to report to the Village Board and community a comprehensive review of all these options for the Board to consider. The Village Board will evaluate these reviews and then consider how best to involve the community in

reviewing these options. Staff has contacted Board Goals facilitator Greg Kuhn for his insight and possible recommendation and proposal for facilitating these meetings in order to ensure that the meetings do not reflect a Village bias.

Mr. Kuhn provided an initial response to the suggested approach for evaluating the issues and involving the community and will be providing a proposal for consideration at an upcoming Board Meeting.

Consensus from the Board of Trustees to have staff pursue this proposal. Felt that it is good to have someone from the outside to help and Mr. Kuhn is familiar with the Village.

Item #3: Public Hearing Re: Draft Village Budgets FY 2014/15.

Discussion: A Public Hearing Notice was published in the *Daily Herald* on March 20, 2014. The Public Hearing Version of the Draft Budgets have been posted on the Village's Web Site and is available at the Village Hall.

Trustee Barry moved to open the Village Budget public hearing; seconded by Trustee Marshall.

VOICE VOTE:

All ayes, no nays (Motion carried 6 – 0)

- Shared funding for downtown improvements. Trustee Lori Lyman provided a brief summary of the request during the April 8, 2014 Board Meeting out of community Building Fund. The sense of the Village Board was to allocate \$6000 out of the community Building Fund.
- Long Grove Arts & Music Council (LGAMC) funding request. LGAMC submitted a request for funding of \$11,000.00. In the prior years, the Village's funding for this group has been \$6,000.00. The current draft Budget includes \$15,000 for "Community Based Grants". This was a new request and would come out of the Community Building Fund. Trustee Barry said that there is money in the budget from the current budget year to fund this. LGAMC provided a summary of some of the current activities and their positive activities, e.g., the Peeps artist event resulted in over 200 votes.

Trustee Barry moved to allocate \$11,000 from this year's budget to next year's budget to fund the LGAMC; seconded by Trustee Marshall.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Trustee Yaeger – aye; Trustee Marshall – aye; Trustee Barry – aye; Trustee Wachs – aye; Trustee Lyman - aye; Trustee Borys- aye.

(Motion carried 6 – 0).

- Trustee Wachs discussed the need for updating the Village's comprehensive plan. The current plan is based on a plan developed in 1998 (16 years ago). Trustee Wachs recommended funding a Gallop Survey and outside services to help in updating a comprehensive plan. Recommends adding this as a separate line item of \$40,000 for this

initiative. The Survey would be comprehensive in determining the needs of the Village. Trustee Yaeger expressed concern about conducting a survey at this time. Trustee Marshall recommended obtaining more information across issues and representative sampling versus a referendum Yes/No response. Trustee Barry shared he had been on the Board when the last survey was conducted and it was extremely insightful and used for planning from that point on. Consensus by the Board to add this as a line item in the budget.

- Village Manager Lothspeich said that the State has delayed income tax revenues to municipalities. In the past, the State of Illinois has typically been 4 to 5 months behind in payment. In the past 12 months, they have caught up and are only one month behind. But, this fluctuation is expected to continue. So, expected revenues have fluctuated significantly from actual revenues received which is a problem when the Village has work in progress and the revenues are not coming in. Recommends making an increase in revenue by \$100,000 as suggested by Stephanie Hannon. This is taking a less conservative approach to the budget planning.
- Proposal From *Business Districts Inc. (BDI)* To Review Village-wide Economic Development Opportunities. BDI will be provided the enclosed proposal reviewing the appropriateness of the current zoning and making recommendations on how to increase commercial development and revenues.

Public comments:

- Make sure to have the estimated number of hours and know the hourly pricing structure for the contractors included in the budget
- Discussion about funds now being available due to unanticipated funds that came in and payments from the State being more current. Budgeting is a planning tool. Trustee Wachs recommended being more deliberate about funding, where in the past we had been very conservative with planning funds.
- Village Planning is figuring approximate repaving cost of \$600,000 per mile of Village road. Trustee Barry said that unanticipated building permits came predominantly from one subdivision that is almost 100% built out. Per Village Manager Lothspeich, the forecast for this year: \$3.7-\$3.8M.
- Agree with conservative approach, but need to be realistic if it makes it appear that there is a deficit that doesn't exist.
- Question about line item to cover paving. Now without SSAs, this will be eliminated from the budget.
- Actual versus Forecasted Revenues: Like to keep reserves as one year operating budget. Village has been trying to build up the reserves, with \$ added this past year. Each year there is a question whether they should spend their reserves versus move to the next budget. Questioned about percentage that is underestimated/overestimated.

2012-2013 Net General Fund - \$1.1M
2011-2012 New General Fund - \$685K
2010-2011 New General Fund - 458
2009-2010 New General Fund - -1.4M
2008-2009 New General Fund - -5K

2007-2008 New General Fund - -543K
2006-2007 New General Fund - -808K

- Plowing of Public Roads: Separate line item for Archer Road due to cobblestone shoveling and separate line item on the contract.
- Streetscape Plans for Old McHenry Road: Village needs to tie in during Old McHenry County changes.

Trustee Lyman moved to close the Village Budget public hearing; seconded by Trustee Wachs.

VOICE VOTE:

All ayes, no nays (Motion carried 6 – 0)

Item #4: Ordinance Approving Village Budgets FY 2014/15.

Discussion: The Village Budgets are presented for approval, with possible modifications made during the upcoming April 22, 2014 Public Hearing.

Stephanie Hannon (Long Grove resident, Finance Director for the City of Prospect Heights) provided the Village with “Budget Analysis” and “Budget Analysis Revenue” documents for review by the Board of Trustees.

Trustee Wachs moved to approve the Village Budget for Fiscal Year 2014/15 as amended tonight; seconded by Trustee Barry.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

**Trustee Yaeger – aye; Trustee Marshall – aye; Trustee Barry – aye; Trustee Wachs – aye; Trustee Lyman - aye; Trustee Borys- aye.
(Motion carried 6 – 0).**

Item #5: Ordinance Initiating Advisory Referendum Re: Video Gambling.

Discussion: As directed during the April 8, 2014 Board Meeting, Village Counsel Filippini prepared the enclosed draft Resolution Initiating an Advisory (Non-binding) Referendum Re: Video Gaming. Trustees expressed a wish to get more public input on this issue. Trustee Barry recommended a survey and a referendum to get the public opinion. Initially, there was a lot of negative feedback and it was voted down. Time would allow people to make a case on both sides. Trustee Wachs shared that the Board is now becoming much more informed on regulations, what it looks like, under what conditions would we allow it (versus Yes/No), etc. Attorney Filippini advised that if any video gaming rulings are made, some kind of action would have to be taken on the original ordinance. Currently, Long Grove has in place an ordinance with flat prohibition. Recommends determining what we want to do before we identify the changes that need to be made to the current ordinance. Trustee Yaeger asked the last date that could be used to determine adding this to the November ballot: Answer – August 18, 2014. Steps to have a trial period: Set up regulations and have a petitioner come forward. This request would have to be Village-wide. Board has the right to make the exception to repetitive applications.

An advisory public question shall be submitted to the voters of the Village of Long Grove, County of Lake, State of Illinois, at the November 4, 2014 general election (or at the next available election

permitted by the general election law), as follows:

Should the Village of Long Grove authorize video gaming within its corporate limits subject to the requirements of the Illinois Video Gaming Act, 230 ILCS 40?	YES _____	NO _____
--	--------------	-------------

Consensus of the Board to table the referendum.

Discussion about a possible six month trial period in advance of the referendum to provide information to be used during the possible referendum. Per Council, for a trial period, the zoning commission vote is not required.

Trustee Wachs moved to approve the preparation of a new permit for the establishment for a liquor license that includes video gambling as well as include the changes that need to be made to change the current ordinance disallowing video gambling for a six month trial period; seconded by Trustee Marshall.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

**Trustee Yaeger – aye; Trustee Marshall – aye; Trustee Barry – nay; Trustee Wachs – aye; Trustee Lyman - aye; Trustee Borys- aye.
(Motion carried 5 – 1).**

Item #6: Resolution Approving Proposal From Village Auditor For Continued Auditing Services.

Discussion: Staff recommended extending the agreement for Auditing services with Baker Tilly for one more year. Have used them since 2004 and been very pleased. Following this year, Staff intends to go out for Request For Proposals (RFP) for Auditing Services. Baker Tilly submitted the enclosed proposal to perform the Audit for \$27,000 (no increase from the prior year).

In 2004, the Village went out for Request For Proposals (RFP) for Village auditing services and selected Virchow Krause & Company for a three-year contract. In 2007, with the expiration of the former agreement, the Village went out for RFP again and the Village again selected Virchow Krause & Company for a second three-year term. This agreement expired in 2010 and based upon their financial expertise and the Village’s excellent working relations, the Village has continued its relationship with Virchow. During this second agreement, the company changed to Baker Tilly Virchow Krause. The actual amounts for the prior year's audits were as follows:

2010 = \$24,859
2011 = \$35,504
2012 = \$37,548
2013 = \$27,000

The proposal is less than the actual amounts spent in 2011 and 2012 primarily as a result of Village Accountant Seidler taking on more book-keeping required as part of the auditing process.

Trustee Barry moved to approve a Resolution accepting a Proposal submitted by Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLG for continued auditing services for the Village of Long Grove; seconded by Trustee Yaeger.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Trustee Yaeger – aye; Trustee Marshall – aye; Trustee Barry – aye; Trustee Wachs – aye; Trustee Lyman - aye; Trustee Borys- aye.
(Motion carried 6 – 0).

Item #7: Resolution Approving An Intergovernmental Agreement With Cuba Township.

Discussion: The Village has been using Ela Township for many of the miscellaneous public works related activities that are not otherwise currently provided by contract (snow removal, landscape maintenance, etc.). However, there are some tasks that Ela does not have the necessary equipment and therefore have suggested that the Village consider entering into an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with Cuba Township. The recent roadside brush trimming was a joint project between Ela and Cuba Township since Cuba has the equipment to perform the initial rough clearing. Cuba Township also has the capabilities to do small paving and patching jobs, etc. which may help to further reduce road maintenance costs since the prices paid between governmental agencies for shared services is not subject to the Prevailing Wage Act.

Trustee Barry moved to approve a Resolution approving an Intergovernmental Agreement between Cuba Township and the Village of Long Grove; seconded by Trustee Wachs.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Trustee Yaeger – aye; Trustee Marshall – aye; Trustee Barry – aye; Trustee Wachs – aye; Trustee Lyman - aye; Trustee Borys- aye.
(Motion carried 6 – 0).

Trustee Yaeger shared that there was a tree cut down in entirety. Village Manager Lothspeich said that there had been specific instructions to cut in an even line down the road. There had been a personal value to the tree. Village Manager Lothspeich promised to plant a tree on the property in the appropriate area from road.

Item #8: Village President Underwood.

Administration & Legislation

A. Legislative Update.

B. Conflict Of Interest Policy. Comments have been made to staff about possible conflicts of interest with Board Members. In response, there are no conflicts of interest that Village Manager Lothspeich is aware of. Village Attorney Filippini said that there are already State laws in place defining and prohibiting if you have any financial benefit to any vote being taken. Direct Palpable Financial Interest: If you bring something before the board that you have a financial interest in. Common law not as clear as the State law. In an effort to address comments received from various Residents about potential conflicts of interest of Village Board Members the Village Board may wish to formally adopt a policy that would reiterate the conflict of interest provisions already provided for by state law in an effort to address this perceived issue. Also the possibility for members of the public to have a requirement to have proof before bringing up an allegation. Matters of general legislation, like our current roads, would not fall under this as all residents have an interest in the decision. There is already a Conflict of Interest form completed on a yearly basis for Lake County.

Trustee Lyman moved to prepare a resolution for a conflict policy consistent with State law; seconded by Trustee Barry.

VOICE VOTE:

Five ayes, One nay (Motion carried 5 – 1)

Item #9: Village Trustee Barry.

Finance / Pathways

A. *Transfer Of Unspent FY 2013-14 Funds To Infrastructure Fund.* In 2012, the Village Board approved the enclosed Ordinance 2012-O-12 An Ordinance Creating A Capital Infrastructure Fund as a mechanism for the Village Board to set aside funds for specific capital purposes such as Roads and Bridges, with the ability to set aside funds for other capital projects (water, pathways, etc.) at the discretion of the Village Board. The Public Hearing version of the Draft General Fund Budget includes the following funds for capital projects being added to the current budget for future use toward the identified project (the funds are earmarked for these uses but the expenditure will not occur without separate approval by the Village Board). Ordinance is open.

Trustee Barry moved to approve the Transfer of Unspent Funds from FY 2013-14 Budget to Infrastructure Fund: Robert Parker Coffin Bridge: \$500,000; Lake Michigan Water Connection: \$200,000; Street Sign Replacements: \$50,000; seconded by Trustee Marshall.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

**Trustee Yaeger – aye; Trustee Marshall – aye; Trustee Barry – aye; Trustee Wachs – aye; Trustee Lyman - aye; Trustee Borys- aye.
(Motion carried 6 – 0).**

B. *Pathway Committee Meeting – April 8, 2014.* The Pathway Committee Members Village Trustee Barry, Member Georgia Cawley and Staff met with Buffalo Creek Pathway Engineer Hay & Associates representatives. Provided an impressive proposal to Trustee Barry and committee. Project complicated by telephone poles. Getting estimate to move two or three telephone poles. There have to be so many feet between road and path, etc. Options under review. Anticipate this path being in place this year. Also looking at Stonehaven to Long View Meadow. There are some sensitive areas and conservancy requiring going around the perimeter. Will be providing a separate proposal for this. Many franchise agreements say that utility has to remove utility pole at their own expense. This will be reviewed by Village Attorney Filippini.

Item #10: Village Trustee Borys.

Environmental and Communications

Storm water Management Committee having seminars on water management that includes issues important to the Village. Trustee Borys will be attending these meetings.

Item #11: Village Trustee Lyman.

Economic Development

Long Grove Business & Community Partners (LGBCP) Board and Eco Devo. Committee Updates.

Update: Village Trustee Lyman and Staff attended the LGBCP meetings. Bike racks are planned for two locations. Question whether or not the Village would be willing to pay for one of these bike racks (off Robert Parker Coffin). Per Trustee Barry, this would be TIF eligible although it would have to be TIF reimbursable. Estimated cost: \$290 per rack that holds 2 bikes. Selected “Landscape Forums”; high quality and well-designed rack. Recommends putting in 3 racks per location. Recommendations of locations provided. Trustee Wachs added that it would be nice to have other fixtures like benches, trash receptacles, planters, etc. The bike racks are being split out because they would be on Village property. Want these to be visible but not intrusive. Consensus for Trustee Lyman to proceed with investigating a location.

BNF Surveys: Another round of inspections received today. Took four weeks for inspections. A lot of conversation about potholes. Notices will be going out from second rounds. Onus is on the property owners to be compliant. There were a couple hundred violations from the interior inspections. Question about when fines will be sent out. In general, fines can be incurred after 30 days of notification. Business want these to be enforced. Judge has to approve/determine every fine. No fine notifications have been sent out to date as the 30 day limit has not been reached. Next round is external inspections and the second round of internal inspections. Then, there is a fine and escalation process in place.

Special Use Permits: Planning Commission will be looking at these permits at their May 6th meeting. Conversations about the amounts identified for escrow.

Item #12: Village Trustee Marshall.

Building, Planning and Zoning – Trustee Marshall had meeting with Village Planner Hogue. Would like to have direction on where we are going with the downtown area. Many of the houses are in extremely bad shape. Value is in the land. Wants direction on where we are going with the historic district. Trustee Wachs recommended identifying what can be built in the historic district. There had been talk about this being builder friendly. Not sure that historic works for businesses. People are willing to spend a lot of money to make houses original, but not businesses. Discussion about having guidance that you are allowed to tear a building down. It has to look like ‘an old building’, not like ‘the old building’. Currently have requirements for the B1 District. Per Trustee Marshall, someone may feel that it is valuable, but is it viable? Possible option: “Long Grove Redevelopment/Revitalization Ordinance”. What we have does not specify whether or not they can tear a building down. Trustee Marshall will take this discussion back to Village Planner Hogue and will confer with Trustee Lyman. Idea is to make it clear to a developer what they can do and can’t do with “revitalization”.

Architectural Commission: Anything requiring a permit by code has to go through the Architectural Commission. Think that it can be reviewed by a Trustee and if in question, be turned over to the Architectural Commission. Want to make sure that the materials and design styles are appropriate. Consensus that the staff should work on an amendment to the Village Code.

Tesla Charging Stations: Looking for locations. Free to Tesla owner. This will be pursued.

Item #13: Village Trustee Wachs.

Public Works

IL Route 53 & Old Hicks Road Intersection Improvements

No report.

Item #14: Village Trustee Yaeger

Security and Sustainability

No report.

Item #15: Village Clerk Locker-Scheer

No report.

Item #16: Village Manager Lothspeich

No report.

Item #17: Village Planner Hogue

No report.

Item #18: Village Attorney Filippini

No report.

Item #19: Approval of Board Meeting Minutes

April 8, 2014 Board Meeting Minutes – Amendments provided by Trustee Yaeger.

Trustee Marshall moved to approve the amended April 8, 2014 Board Meeting Minutes; seconded by Trustee Lyman.

VOICE VOTE:

All ayes, no nays (Motion carried 6 – 0).

Item: Executive Session

At 10:46 P.M., Trustee Lyman moved to go into Executive Session to discuss (1) Executive Session Minutes; (2) Litigation; (3) Personnel and (4) Acquisition and Disposition of Property; seconded by Trustee Wachs.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

**Trustee Yaeger – aye; Trustee Marshall – aye; Trustee Barry – aye; Trustee Wachs - aye; Trustee Lyman - aye; Trustee Borys- aye.
(Motion carried 6 – 0).**

Approval of Executive Board Meeting Minutes.

April 8, 2014 Executive Board Meeting Minutes – No discussion.

Trustee Lyman moved to approve the April 8, 2014 Executive Board Meeting Minutes; seconded by Trustee Barry.

VOICE VOTE:

All ayes, No nays (Motion carried 6 - 0).

Item: Adjournment.

At 10:58 P.M., Trustee Barry moved to adjourn the meeting; seconded by Trustee Wachs.

VOICE VOTE:

All ayes, No nays (Motion carried 6 - 0).